

The World's Convention.

Robert Owen's "World's Convention" has at length terminated its sittings, after adopting the following resolutions, with only one dissenting voice:

1st. That the World's Convention has been called to ascertain if any parties were prepared with a well digested and matured scientific plan of society; calculated to solve the great problem of the age, "How the superabundant materials and power now at the control of society may be applied to produce permanent prosperity, and superior conduct in each for the benefit of all, and how this change can be immediately effected in practice without the least division, inconvenience or evil to existing arrangements, in order to relieve society from the ignorance, poverty, division, cruelties and misery with which the population in all countries are now severely afflicted."

2. That with this extended view it became necessary to admit to a fair and full investigation whatever plans were presented to the Convention, that the public might have an opportunity to ascertain the progress which has been made towards the attainment of this the most important object which can now engage the attention and deep consideration of the best disposed, most enlightened and extensively experienced members of society.

3. That various partial plans have been submitted to the Convention and fairly and fully discussed; that some of these appeared to the Convention very crude and ill-adjusted, while others were deemed to contain valuable isolated principles that may be beneficially introduced into a regular scientific arrangement of society.

4. That Mr. Clinton Roosevelt submitted a well corrected and deeply considered plan of society, with explanatory diagrams; a plan which contained many most valuable principles and suggestions that might be advantageously applied to assist in the formation of a superior arrangement of society.

5. That Robert Owen, the President of the Convention, submitted a plan based upon the principles of Universal Charity, of a moral, scientific, federative, self-supporting, and self-governing society, purposely devised in its outline and detail, to ensure the well-being, well-doing, comfort and happiness of every one of its members, physically, mentally, morally and practically, through life, under new arrangements that will benefit all and injure none, leaving religious liberty perfectly free to all, according to their individual consciences or opinions.

6. That he deems the most likely mode of effecting the change from the present system of society to the one which he proposes, to be by joint stock companies, like railways and other large undertakings, for the profit of the shareholders, and under such conditions that the latter shall ultimately become the proprietors, by purchase, of their individual shares, and thus gradually make land public property.

8. That a Society be formed in the city of New York to prepare a well digested Prospectus for Joint Stock Companies, to construct scientific, federative, self-supporting and self-governing societies, on the principles of equal rights and of a sound, practical equality, in accordance with the Constitution of these States, as established by the far-seeing founders of this new Republic.

7. That Branch Societies over the Union be formed and connected with the Parent Society in New York, to give true explanations of the principles and object of the World's Convention, and to promote the forming of these Joint Stock Companies.

9. That a Committee be appointed to prepare the Constitution, rules and regulations, of the parent and branch societies, and to draft a condensed statement for publication, of the proceedings of this first World's Convention, and that an annual World's Convention shall be held in this city, to continue its sittings on the first day of October in each year.

10. That the unpaid expenses of this Convention be paid by the Parent Society now proposed to be formed.

11. That the Chairman be requested to appoint at his leisure, members of this Committee, of such persons as he may deem the most likely to promote the great object now attempted to be attained.

The President then expressed his great satisfaction with the success of this first "World's Convention," which is to be resumed annually, and then dismissed the Convention for the year.

12. The Editor of the Beacon, propounds to us several questions, we have forgotten some of them, and have mislaid the paper, but we recollect three of them, which are about as follows:

1st. "Do you approve of Gen. Whitcomb's being the friend of both Mr. Van Buren and General Harrison?"

Certainly we do. He was just as thousands of other good and talented Democrats were. The political and personal friends of Mr. Van Buren, and the personal friends of Gen. Harrison. To this we have no objection. Gen. Cass says, in his Paris speech, that he is one of kind of men, and if it does not disqualify him for the Presidency, in the eyes of the "Young Democracy," we think it cannot be a very wise sin, for an "old hunker" to hold the same opinion.

2nd. "Do you approve of the Governor's manner of nominating Supreme Judges?"

We learn his nominations to the Senate from the Journals, and there we see nothing objectionable. He sent in the names of several good men, who were meritoriously deputed, by pretended political friends who are the men that are to blame for the present political complexion of our Supreme Court. If the Senate had wished a Democratic Court, it had it in its power, to have done so.

3rd. "Does the editor of the Democrat, approve of the course of the Sentinel towards the editor of the Beacon?"

When the editor of the Beacon commenced his insinuations that the knew of corruption among certain Democrats we said nothing, but waited a considerable time, until we were satisfied, that the editor, either knew nothing, or dared not let out what he pretended to know, and that it was to end in fizzling, and all the priming and picking was to be a flash in the pan. We then recommended to all, peace and unity; this was disregarded by the belligerents, and we have only noticed so much of them since, as it became our imperative duty, in discharging our organization, and unprofitable contentions in our party. As to the personal difficulties between the Editors of the Sentinel and the Editor of the Beacon, we have no part nor lot in the matter.—*Brooklyn Democrat, October 10.*

The other "Democratic" paper that was to be started at Indianapolis by Morrison and Covington, it is thought, will be started. The exposure of the Sentinel of its editors and friends, have caused a pause. Whether the charges made by the Chapmans are true or not, we pretend not to say, but if the new editors now back out, the people will be apt to think there is some truth in what has been said and published by the editors of the Sentinel. Judging from letter writers and the general state of feeling, a large majority of the "Democracy" of the State are opposed to the publication of another Democratic paper at the seat of Government. If the new paper gets into operation there will be some skinning between the Old Hunkers and the Young Democracy, which will be fine sport for the "lookers on," but very little to the parties engaged.—*Ohio Branch, Oct. 8.*

Santa Anna, the ex-President of Mexico, is still living in ease and elegance at the famous country seat of Dr. Hevia. It is situated about nine miles from Havana, in the district of Mariano. It is a sumptuous residence, and might well be deemed a palace. The General has recently purchased a magnificent carriage, &c., and otherwise given evidence of a design to make Cuba a permanent residence, unless some emergency in the affairs of Mexico, fortunate for his party, should recall him to the scenes of his former wonderful and most eventful career. It must be confessed that he has chosen his place of retirement with admirable taste and sagacity. From all parts of the earth news is received at Havana by regular and frequent packets. He can thus take advantage of the earliest movements in his favor, communicate promptly with his friends at home, and at the same time share in the enjoyments and luxuries of society in Havana.

The route from Chagres to Panama, across the Isthmus, has recently been traversed by Mr. Jewett, a newly appointed captain of the United States. The distance is sixty-five miles in all, and the journey of a most interesting description. From Chagres to Panama the road is a mere bridle-path through the forest. Mr. Jewett describes the forest as one continual and most magnificent green-house—more beautiful than any he had ever seen planted by the hand of man in the United States.

The Tariff and the Currency.

If any one thing is more remarkable than another in the conduct of the advocates of "direct taxation," disguised under the pretence of encouraging home industry by ruining the foreign trade of the country, and the Federal revenues, it is the profound silence which they observe in relation to the operation of a National Bank, for which they contend, in promoting imports of foreign goods. While it enhances the cost of producing here, and consequently throws the whole trade into the hands of foreigners despite any Tariff imposed, how high soever the range of duties may be, it has far more influence than the duty upon imports. Take flannels: the comparative import cost and duty in 1836 and 1842, was as follows:

Year	Import	Cost	Cost per yd.	Duty
1836	636,316	306,952	50 cents	16 ct. 3 p. ct.
1842	75,865	30,380	40 "	8 " 25 "

Decrease 559,451 276,572 10 " 8 " 10 "

Now, under the cheap currency of 1836, the foreign cost of flannels increased 17 cents per yard, or 33 per cent., the duty remaining the same. In 1842, the Tariff fell to 20 per cent. ad valorem, and with a fall of 10 cents per yard in the cost of flannels, while the import fell to a mere nominal amount. Now, it is very clear that the "high tariff" here did not reduce the price. What then the means of the comparative contraction in the currencies both of England and America, and the diminished exports of 1842, consequent upon the operation of the 20 per cent. tariff of the extra session upon imported articles before free. Notwithstanding that flannels had fallen 40 per cent. in price, and the duty 4 per cent., the purchases were less. Why? Because the means of the farmers consequent upon a fall of produce, were less. The duty on flannels now is 14 cents per yard. If, now, by the establishment of a paper bubble through the means of a National Bank, the price here is restored to where it stood in 1836, 67 cents being a rise of 40 per cent., of what avail is the tariff of 14 cents per yard, or 20 per cent. ad valorem? Thus,

100 yards flannels cost 40 cts	\$4,000	Duty,	\$1,400	\$
" " " 67 "	6,700		1,400	
	<hr/>			
increase	\$2,700			\$

Increase \$2.70 \$2.70

The same lot of flannels will pay the duty, and give the foreign manufacturer \$1,300 more profit, while the duty on the domestic manufacturer is reduced, and his is diminished to the same extent by the general rise in raw material, supplies, and labor. This operation the protectors of American industry studiously conceal from the public gaze, that their hollow-hearted professions may not be seen through. In all the wrangling about the fall in prices known to have taken place in the past few years, the chief cause of that fall, the explosion of the red money system, is kept entirely out of sight, while a reduction in salt and other articles is pointed to, in proof that high taxes diminish prices; yet, singularly enough, coffee and other articles fell quite as much, if not more, than the dutiable ones.

Sugar is an article of domestic manufacture. The tax on that article is from 65 to 100 per cent., the cheapest quality being taxed highest. The tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent. Now will any one pretend to say, that we could not get those articles cheaper if those heavy and unjust taxes were removed? Surely not. The tariff is peculiarly hard on the poor people, who are needed to keep the country from being the plaything of the rich, and the tax upon foolscap paper is 97 per cent.